UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Case No. 1:13-cr-96-JMS-DML-02

v.

ORDER ON MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A) (COMPASSIONATE RELEASE)

FERNANDO LOPEZ

Upon motion of \boxtimes the defendant \square the Director of the Bureau of Prisons for a reduction in sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), and after considering the applicable factors provided in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and the applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

 \square DENIED.

☑ DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Defendant filed a pro se motion that the Court construes as a Motion for Compassionate Release under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A). In the motion, Defendant asks the Court to appoint counsel to represent him. Defendant is not entitled to appointment of counsel with respect to his Motion for Compassionate Release. *See United States v. Blake*, 986 F.3d 756, 758 (7th Cir. 2021). In addition, Defendant's motion does not provide the Court with sufficient information to determine whether it should grant compassionate release under § 3582(c)(1)(A), and his motion does not show that the interests of justice support appointing counsel at this time. Specifically, while Defendant contends that he suffers from certain medical conditions that increase his risk of experiencing severe symptoms if he contracts COVID-19, he does not explain whether he received or been offered the COVID-19 vaccine, which would reduce his risk of contracting COVID-19. Such information is pertinent to the Court's evaluation of whether Defendant has presented an

"extraordinary and compelling reason" warranting a sentence reduction under § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i). See United States v. Broadfield, __ F.4th __, No. 20-2906, 2021 WL 3076863, at *2 (7th Cir. July 21, 2021) ("[F]or the many prisoners who seek release based on the special risks created by COVID-19 for people living in close quarters, vaccines offer far more relief than a judicial order. A prisoner who can show that he is unable to receive or benefit from a vaccine may still turn to this statute, but, for the vast majority of prisoners, the availability of a vaccine makes it impossible to conclude that the risk of COVID-19 is an 'extraordinary and compelling' reason for immediate release The federal judiciary need not accept a prisoner's self-diagnosed skepticism about the COVID-19 vaccines as an adequate explanation for remaining unvaccinated, when the responsible agencies all deem vaccination safe and effective."). Accordingly, Defendant's motion, dkt. [87], is **denied without prejudice**. If Defendant wishes to renew his motion, he may do so by completing and returning the attached form motion. (Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A) (Compassionate Release) (Pro Se Prisoner)). Among other things, the form requires Defendant to explain whether he has received or been offered the COVID-19 vaccine.

Joanus -/

Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Judge United States District Court

Southern District of Indiana

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 8/11/2021

Distribution:

Fernando Lopez-Mendez Reg. No. 16844-028 North Lake Correctional Institution P.O. Box 1500

Baldwin, MI 49304

All Electronically Registered Counsel